Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

HECEVED

JAN 27 1999

CIPALE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of)	
)	
The Use of N11 Codes and Other)	CC Docket No. 92-105
Abbreviated Dialing Arrangements)	NSD File No. L-98-139

REPLY COMMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION

The United States Telephone Association (USTA) hereby files its reply comments to the comments submitted on the Report and Recommendations of the Abbreviated Dialing Ad Hoc Working Group to the North American Numbering Council (NANC) Regarding Abbreviated Dialing Arrangements in the above-captioned proceeding (hereinafter referred to as "the NANC Report" or "the Report").¹

In its comments, USTA advocated adoption of the NANC Report by the Commission and strongly supported the process by which the conclusions in the Report were developed and adopted. USTA notes the broad support expressed by the industry for the conclusions reached in the Report and Recommendations.²

1

No. of Copies rec'd 0+4 List ABCDE

¹Public Notice, DA 98-2541, released December 14, 1998.

²See Comments of AT&T Corp., Bell Atlantic, BellSouth Corporation, the National Emergency Number Association, the Association of Public-Safety Communications Officials-International, Inc., and the National Association of State Nine One One Administrators, PrimeCo Personal Communications, L.P., and SBC Communications, Inc.

USTA finds significant irony in MCI WorldCom's continued charges that the proceedings leading to the Report were dominated by ILEC and wireless interests and that "many of the Report's conclusions simply serve to further these carriers' interests." To the contrary, the Report is a consensus document in which all interested industry parties were accorded an opportunity to participate. MCI WorldCom continues its attack by repeating that the Report "acknowledges little is known about these potential services/applications and their specific attributes."⁵ USTA observes that little is known about these potential services precisely because their proponents were unable or unwilling to describe them.⁶ MCI WorldCom, having failed to identify a use for the resource and gaining no credible support for its position in the industry, has the temerity to then demand that the Commission should "explicitly direct NANC, through a balanced industry working group that includes representation by all appropriate industry segments, to rapidly develop national abbreviated dialing guidelines."⁷ It must be recognized that representatives of MCI WorldCom and USTA jointly led the Abbreviated Dialing Ad Hoc Working Group, and did everything possible to ensure the maximum level of participation possible from all industry segments. MCI WorldCom's comment amounts to a direct attack on the structure and process of this and many other activities under the direction of the NANC.

³Comments of MCI WorldCom at 3-4.

⁴It is also to be noted that the Report was discussed in detail by the NANC, and, after minor modifications, approved by that body by clear consensus. MCI WorldCom's attempts to impugn the Report and the process in which it was developed are an affront to the industry and the NANC.

⁵Id. at 4, quoting the Report at 3, 17.

⁶Comments of USTA at 11.

⁷Comments of MCI WorldCom at 6.

To continue its demands, and not deterred by its inability to describe a service structure, MCI WorldCom baldly states that "the Commission should open a NPRM to establish rules to fully implement uniform national abbreviated dialing arrangements." Such baseless demands must be rejected.

MCI WorldCom states that "...little if any vendor/supplier participation was sought by the Working Group."9 Although no vendors were among the standing membership of the Working Group, it must be remembered that a major vendor is seated on NANC, and other vendors of products that could be impacted by elements of the Ad Hoc Working Group's Report were well aware of the activities. In addition, all the Ad Hoc's meetings were open to the public and anyone could participate in all of the Ad Hoc proceedings. As the conclusions reflected in the Report began to solidify, the leaders of the Ad Hoc Working Group did, indeed, redouble their efforts to obtain direct participation of manufacturers' representatives at the meetings; this representation was essential to address issues related to time of implementation. Representatives of three of the largest switching manufacturers were present at the final face-to-face meeting of the Ad Hoc¹⁰ and participated energetically in the discussions. These discussions provided the Ad Hoc with many useful elements of new information. The most important of these were: 1) recognition of the modifications that would be required in switching systems and protocol development in signaling systems to implement interswitch (internetwork) passage of

⁸*Id.* at 2, 10.

⁹*Id.* at 5.

¹⁰ This meeting was hosted by (then) MCI at one of its corporate locations in Texas.

abbreviated dialing sequences; 2) the lack of ability to be specific about the exact developments that would be required absent a clear service description; and 3) identification of the various activities and general time frames that would be required in implementation of any new abbreviated dialing structure. It was concluded that the time required for most of these activities could be roughly estimated, even without more complete detail as to particular services/applications. This information and the elements are provided in the Report.

MCI vigorously participated in obtaining this representation and in the discussion with industry representatives. Faced with an outcome not favorable to it, MCI WorldCom now attacks the process and the activity of those (including its own representatives) who participated in and shared in leading the discussions. The Report includes the conclusions of informed industry representatives. The conclusions are valid and are responsive to the Commission's request.

In the section of its Comments entitled "The Working Group 's Identification of 'Alternatives' is Not Only Irrelevant but Misleading," MCI WorldCom strikes out in another direction. Absent any previous comment on the issue, "MCI urges the Commission to order ILECs to immediately provide a (sic) access service arrangements to support 555 service." Besides making a demand not at issue in this proceeding, MCI once again demonstrates that the inability to describe a service structure is no impediment to a demand that a service be implemented.

¹¹Comments of MCI WorldCom at 8.

 $^{^{12}}Id.$

MCI WorldCom's positions cannot stand rational inquiry. They must be dismissed by the Commission.

Likewise, the continued protests of Low Tech Designs must also be dismissed.

What seems to be continuously overlooked in Low Tech's comments is that the Ad Hoc

Group addressed the elements of multinetwork applications. "The abbreviated dialing

arrangements considered by the Working Group are for use in multinetwork

applications." Low Tech continues to mix apples and oranges and direct its ire toward intranetwork implementations that many carriers have implemented through the years.

These were recognized in the Report; the consensus conclusion was that they should not be disturbed.

Conclusion

All the industry representatives who had an interest in the issue of the length of time it would take to deploy abbreviated dialing capabilities in the network were given full opportunity to participate in the Abbreviated Dialing Ad Hoc Working Group. The Working Group evaluated the different options available in order to determine which of the capabilities were practical and useful.

¹³The Report at 3 (emphasis added).

USTA submits that the Report of the Abbreviated Dialing Ad Hoc Working Group is a consensus document of the Ad Hoc Working Group and NANC; it has answered the Commission's question and should be adopted.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION

RY

Its Attorneys:

Lawrence E. Sarjeant Linda L. Kent

Keith Townsend John W. Hunter

1401 H Street, NW

Suite 600

Washington, DC 20005

(202) 326-7375

January 27, 1999

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Donna Young, do certify that on January 27, 1999, copies of the accompanying Reply Comments of the United States Telephone Association were either hand-delivered, or deposited in the U.S. Mail, first-class, postage prepaid to the persons on the attached service list.

Donna Young

L. Marie Guillory NTCA 2626 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20037 Michael S. Slomin Bellcore 445 South Street Morristown, NJ 07960 Paul J. Berman Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW P.O. Box 7566 Washington, DC 20044

Michael J. Shortley, III Frontier 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646 Carol F. Sulkes Central Telephone Co. 8745 Higgins Road Chicago, IL 60631 Theodore D. Frank Vonya B. McCann Arent, Fox, Kintner, Plotkin & Kahn 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036

Daniel S. Goldberg Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW P.O. Box 7566 Washington, DC 20044 Linda D. Hershman SNET 227 Church Street 14th Floor New Haven, CT 06510 Joan M. Griffin GTE 1850 M Street, NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

U S WEST 1020 19th Street, NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 Lee Fisher James B. Gainer Ann E. Henkener PUC of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43266 Michael S. Varda PSC of Wisconsin 610 North Whitney Way Madison, WI 53705-2729

James R. Monk Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission 901 State Office Building Indianapolis, IN 46204 George S. Kois LO/AD Communications 200 South Los Robles Avenue Suite 510 Pasadena, CA 91101 Mike Knapp Bellcore 2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037

John M. Goodman Charles H. Kennedy James R. Young Bell Atlantic 1710 H Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 Floyd S. Keene Larry A. Peck Mark R. Ortlieb Ameritech 2000 W. Ameritech Ctr. Dr. 4H82 Hoffman Estates, IL 60192 William B. Barfield Thompson T. Rawls BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Suite 1800 1155 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

Jacqueline Nethersole NYNEX 120 Bloomingdale Road White Plains, NY 10605 James P. Tuthill Nancy C. Woolf Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell 140 New Montgomery Street Room 1523 San Francisco, CA 94105 James L. Wurtz Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell 1275 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004 Francine J. Berry Mark C. Rosenblum Albert M. Lewis AT&T 295 North Maple Avenue Room 3244J1 Basking Ridge, NJ 07920 Carol Schultz MCI 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006 Jay C. Keithley Leon M. Kestenbaum U.S. Sprint 1850 M Street, NW Suite 1110 Washington, DC 20036

W. Richard Morris U.S. Sprint P.O. Box 11314 Kansas City, MO 64112 Dr. Lee L. Selwyn Economics and Technology, Inc. One Washington Mall Boston, MA 02108 James S. Blaszak Levine, Blaszak, Block & Boothby 1300 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

Albert H. Kramer Robert F. Aldrich Helen M. Hull Keck, Mahin & Cate 1201 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20005 Ronald L. Lehr 934 S. Gilpin Street Denver, CO 80209 Stephen R. Bell Squire, Sanders & Dempsey 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW P.O. Box 407 Washington, DC 20044

Roy L. Kaufmann Datatrex 1825 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 310 Washington, DC 20009 Angela Burnett Information Industry Assn. 555 New Jersey Avenue, NW Suite 800 Washington, DC 20001 Joseph P. Markoski David Alan Nall Squire, Sanders & Dempsey 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW P.O. Box 407 Washington, DC 20044

Andrew D. Lipman Russell M. Blau Swidler & Berlin, Chartered 3000 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20007 R. Michael Senkowski Jeffrey S. Linder Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 Richard E. Wiley Michael Yourshaw Edward A. Yorkgitis, Jr. Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

Werner K. Hartenberger Leonard J. Kennedy J.G. Harrington Dow, Lohnes & Albertson 1255 23rd Street, NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20037 Benjamin Griffin 1301 K St., NW Suite 1100 - E. Tower Washington, D.C. 20005 J.K. Hage Hage & Hobaica LLP P.O. Box 1769 Utica, N.Y. 13503-1769

David C. Olson Cincinnati Bell Telephone Frost & Jacobs 2500 PNC Center 201 E. Fifth St. Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-1482 Campbell L. Ayling NYNEX 1111 Westchester Ave. White Plains, NY 10604 Karen Peltz Strauss National Association of the Deaf 814 Thayer Ave. Silver Spring, MD 20910 Jerry Wray
Ohio Department of Transportation
Central Office, 25 s. Front St.
P.O. Box 899
Columbus, Ohio 43216-0899

Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, PC (Counsel for AT&T) 701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004

Carlos A. Guiterrez

James M. Tennant Low Tech Designs, Inc. 1204 Saville Street Georgetown, SC 29440

Robert M. Lynch Roger K. Toppins John S. di Bene SBC One Bell Plaza - Room 3022 Dallas, TX 75202 M. Robert Sutherland A. Kirven Gilbert III BellSouth 1155 Peachtree Street, NE Suite 1700 Atlanta, GA 30309 Richard C. Bartel Comms. Venture Services, Inc. 5530 Wisconsin Avenue Suite 703-705 P.O. Box 70805 Chevy Chase, MD 20813

John M. Goodman Bell Atlantic 1300 Eye Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 James R. Hobson Donelan, Cleary, Wood & Maser, PC (Counsel for NENA) 1100 New York Avenue, NW Suite 750 Washington, DC 20005 Anne F. La Lena MCI WorldCom, Inc. 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006

Glenn B. Manishin Christy C. Kunin Blumenfeld & Cohen - Technology Law Group (MCI WorldCom) 1615 M Street, NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 ITS 1231-20th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036